28

STATE OF ARIZONA

JUN 1 9 1996

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT. OF INSURANCE BY____

In the Matter of:

Docket No. 96A-099

HORACE MANN INSURANCE COMPANY TEACHERS INSURANCE COMPANY CONSENT ORDER

Respondents.

Department of Insurance (the Examiners for the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Mann Insurance Company ("Horace Mann"), NAIC #22578 and Teachers Insurance Company ("Teachers"), NAIC #22683. Horace Mann and Teachers, which are members of the Horace Mann Insurance Group, are jointly referred to as Respondents. The Report of the Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of the Horace Mann Insurance Group alleges that Respondents have violated A.R.S. §§ 20-385, 20-443, and 20-1632.01, and A.A.C.R20-6-801.

The Respondent wishes to resolve this matter without formal adjudicative proceedings and agrees to this Consent Order.

The Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona (the "Director") enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, which are neither admitted nor denied by Respondent, and the following Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondents are authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to Certificates of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct a market conduct examination of Respondents. The on-site examination was concluded as of September 8, 1995.

- 3. The Examiners reviewed 293 personal automobile policies issued by Respondents which had effective dates from September 26, 1991 through April 30, 1995. Respondents issued three of these policies (1%) at rates other than its filed rates by using incorrect territory codes, resulting in the insureds paying a total of \$252.60 less than they should have paid had Respondents adhered to their filings.
- 333 automobile 4. The Examiners reviewed personal cancellation and non-renewal files, with effective dates termination from August 27, 1991 through April 22, 1995. these, Respondents non-renewed 135 policies for non-payment of premium but failed to allow each insured a seven-day grace period during which the policy would remain in full force without penalty even if the premium had not been paid, as stated Respondents non-renewed these policies in the policies. retroactive to the premium due date if payment was not made by the date stated in each notice of non-renewal, and allowed a grace period only if payment were actually made. The notices sent by Respondents did not include a notice of the insured's right to complain to the Director of the actions taken by Respondents.
- 8. The Examiners reviewed 146 subrogation claim files that were closed from April 29, 1991 through September 23, 1994. Respondents failed to return the pro-rata share of the deductible to two insureds (1.4%) after recovery from third parties, resulting in underpayments totalling \$66.00. These amounts were paid to the insureds by Respondents on or about November 10, 1995.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. By determining personal automobile insurance premiums using territory classifications other than those prescribed by their filed rates and rules, Respondents violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A).
- 2. Respondents violated A.R.S. § 20-443(1) by representing to insureds that their policies had terminated on the dates that premiums were due, and violated A.R.S. § 20-1632.01(A) by failing to allow the seven-day grace period stated in the policies during which the policies would continue in full force even if the premiums were not paid.
- 3. By failing to pay a pro-rata share of the deductible to first-party claimants after recovering funds from third parties, Respondents violated A.A.C. R20-6-801(H)(4).
- 4. Grounds exist for the entry of the provisions of the following Order.

ORDER

Respondents, having admitted the jurisdiction of the Director to enter this Order, having waived the Notice of Hearing and the hearing, having waived any and all rights to appeal this Order, and having consented to the entry of this Order, and there being no just reason for delay:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondents shall cease and desist from failing to send notices of cancellation or non-renewal to all insureds whose policies are cancelled or non-renewed for non-payment of premium on the effective date of cancellation, after allowing a seven-day grace period.

- 2. Within 60 days of the filed date of this Order, Respondents shall submit to the Director a written action plan for training and monitoring their underwriting personnel to ensure that they cancel and non-renew policies for non-payment of premium in compliance with A.R.S. § 20-1632.01.
- 3. Within 60 days of the filed date of this Order, Respondents shall conduct a self-audit of all first and third party personal automobile claims with loss dates from May 30, 1991 through the filed date of this Order which were denied because the insured's policy was non-renewed for non-payment of premium, and shall re-open those claims in which the date of loss was during the seven-day grace period. Respondents shall pay to these claimants the amount due on their claims, plus interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum calculated from the date each claim was received by the insurer to the date the claim was paid.
- 4. The payments specified in the above paragraph shall be accompanied by a letter to each claimant acceptable to the Director. A list of files, giving the name and address of each claimant whose claim for loss during the seven-day grace period had been denied; the name and address of each party to whom payments were made; the reasons that payments are not due to other parties, if any, whose claims were denied during the seven-day grace period; the base amount of each payment, taxes and license fees paid, the amount of interest paid, and the date of payment, shall be provided to the ADOI when all payments have been made, but no later than 75 days after the filed date of this Order.

5. Respondents have paid the pro-rata shares of deductibles, based upon funds recovered from third-parties totalling \$66.00, to the insureds of policy files A65671 and C99314.

- 6. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that Respondents have complied with all provisions of this Order.
- 7. Respondents shall pay a civil penalty of \$10,000 to the Director for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 20-220(B). This civil penalty shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Division of the ADOI on or before June 3, 1996.
- 8. The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Respondents as of September 8, 1995, including the letter submitted in response by Respondents, shall be filed with the Department after the Director has filed this Order.

 DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 19th day of June, 1996.

Chris Herstam
Director of Insurance

.

3

CONSENT TO ORDER

- Respondents, Horace Mann Insurance Company and
 Teachers Insurance Company, have reviewed the foregoing Order.
- 2. Respondents are aware of their right to a hearing, at which hearing they may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondents irrevocably waive their right to demand a public hearing and to seek judicial review of this consent order.
- 3. Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, and consents to the entry of this Order.
- 4. Respondents state that no promise of any kind or nature has been made to induce them to enter into this Consent Order and that they have entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondents acknowledge that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely to settle this matter against them and does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.

	William &					
as Vice -	President	, he i	s an off	icer of Re	esponder	ıts,
and is been	authorized t	to enter	into thi	s Consent	Order o	on
Respondents'	behalf.					

HORACE MANN INSURANCE COMPANY
TEACHERS INSURANCE COMPANY

5-30-96

By: Williams

--6-

COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered 1 this 19th day of June , 1996, to: Charles R. Cohen Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris Executive Assistant Director Lewis D. Kowal Chief Administrative Law Judge Erin H. Klug Chief Market Conduct Examiner Saul R. Saulson Examinations Supervisor Market Conduct Examinations Division Mary Butterfield Assistant Director Life & Health Division 9 Deloris E. Williamson Assistant Director 10 Rates & Regulations Division Gary Torticill 11 Assistant Director and Chief Financial Examiner Corporate & Financial Affairs Division 12 Cathy O'Neil Assistant Director 13 Consumer Services Division John Gagne 14 Assistant Director Investigations Division 15 John King Fraud Unit Chief 16 Dean Ehler Supervisor 17 Property and Casualty Section Maureen Catalioto 18 Supervisor Licensing Section 19 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 20 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018 21 22 Mary Jo Egizii Assistant Vice President and Assistant General Counsel 23 The Horace Mann Companies One Horace Mann Plaza 24 Springfield, Illinois 62715-0001 25 (ienceyle). Buiton 26 27